I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the

Dated: November 20, 2001



Docket No.: SCEI 3.0-045

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

atent Application of:

Hino et al.

Application No.: 09/784,852

Filed: February 16, 2001

For: IMAGE DRAWING METHOD, IMAGE DRAWING APPARATUS, RECORDING

MEDIUM, AND PROGRAM

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Group Art Unit: 2673

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

FEB 0 6 2002

Technology Center 2600

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Dear Sir:

It is respectfully requested that the references listed on the enclosed form be made of record and considered with respect to the above-referenced U.S. patent application. The cited references were cited by an Examiner in an Office Action issued in connection with applicant's corresponding Japanese patent application. A copy of the Office Action (and an English summary thereof) and a copy of the references are enclosed. Submission of the present Information Disclosure Statement should not be taken as an admission that the cited references are legally available prior art or that the same are pertinent or material.

In the event that any fee is due in connection with the present Information Disclosure Statement, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 12-1095.

Dated: November 20, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Cohen

Registration No.: 32,768

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 518-6316

Attorneys for Applicant

Mailing No. 514694

Reference No.: SCEI00258

Mailing Date
October 9, 2001

## Cited References

D1: Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 11-86025

D2: Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 9-10435

D3: Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 10-124700

## Examiner's Statement

Claims 1, 7, 15 and 23 are rejected in view of D1 and D2 for the lack of inventive step.

Claims 2, 8 and 16 are rejected in view of D1 and D2 for the lack of inventive step.

Claims 3, 9, 17 and 24 are rejected in view of D1 and D3 for the lack of inventive step.

Claims 4, 10 and 18 are rejected in view of D1 and D3 for the lack of inventive step.

Claims 5, 11, 12, 19, 20 and 25 are rejected in view of D1 through D3 for the lack of inventive step.

Claims 6, 13, 14, 21 and 22 are rejected in view of D1 through D3 for the lack of inventive step.